

Investigating Techniques for Flood Quantile Estimation in Canada

Shabnam Mostofi Zadeh Donald Burn





Outline of Presentation





Introduction

- Effective mitigation requires reliable flood estimation
- Floods estimation: concerns the longer period of time
- Accurate estimation of probability of exceedance
- Requirement for design of flood protection infrastructure

Flood Frequency Analysis





Flood Frequency Analysis

- Statistical method of estimation
- Studying past events
- Determine the probability of future occurrences
- Theoretical frequency distribution





Flood Events

- Two types of flood series
- Annual maximum & peaks-over-threshold
- Advantages & disadvantages







Flood Frequency Analysis

- At-site flood frequency analysis
- Reliable for sufficiently long flow records
- Insufficient gauging network/data record

Resolution: Trading space for time Regional Frequency Analysis



- ⊗ target site
 - neighboring station
- non-neighboring station







NSERC







NSERC

Data Screening Regionalization Fitting Frequency stributio **Flow Estimate**

Similarity measure:

- Distance metric (Euclidian distance)
- Defining closeness of each station to every other
- Seasonality measure is employed in defining similarity between sites

$$d_{\rm s}^{ij} = [(\bar{x}_i - \bar{x}_j)^2 + (\bar{y}_i - \bar{y}_j)^2]^{0.5}$$

 $d_{\rm s}^{ij}$ is the dissimilarity between catchment i and j

 $ar{x}_i$, $ar{y}_i$ are the coordinated of the mean flood date for catchment i



Day 1 (Jan. 1)

Day 365 (Dec. 31)



- Check goodness-of-fit test
- Compare fit of different distributions to regional average
- Select best fitted regional distribution









```
Fitting
Frequency
Distribution
Flow Estimate
Uncertainty
```

 $Q_i(F) = \mu_i q(F)$



Data Screening Regionalization Fitting Frequency stributio **Flow Estimate** Uncertainty **Estimate**

Estimating quantile uncertainty:

- Based on confidence interval for quantile estimates
- Bootstrap approach was used
- For both at-site and pooled quantiles
- Narrower CI, lower uncertainty





- 45 Hydrometric stations- RHBN sites
- Minimum 30 years of recorded flows
- Active and continuous
- No missing flows
- 31 to 97 years range of records











- Performed Pettitt test for change point analysis
- Trends in data were examined
- Two sites exhibited trend in their data series



Nonstationarity analysis should be performed for these sites



Steps in the analysis:

- \checkmark Seasonality measures estimated for each site
- ✓ Euclidian distance was used to define dissimilarity between sites
- $\checkmark~$ Sites were arranged in descending similarity order

Seasonality space representation of the gauging stations





Steps in the analysis:

- $\checkmark~$ for each target site the first 25 similar sites were identified
- \checkmark Initial ROI for the target site
- ✓ Homogeneity of ROI was checked
- ✓ Heterogeneous ROIs were revised
- ✓ Most discordant sites were sequentially removed
- ✓ Homogeneity of ROI was reevaluated



Identified ROIs for each catchment:

- ✓ 33 homogenous ROIs
- ✓ 9 possibly heterogeneous ROIs

Regional distribution fitting:

- ✓ 21 ROIs with GLO
- ✓ 10 ROIs with GEV
- ✓ 11 ROIs with GNO



Region of Influence for site 28 (most heterogeneous region):





Region of Influence for site 1(most homogeneous region):





Quantile estimation and confidence interval comparison:

- For both at-site and regional quantile estimates
- Width of 90% confidence interval



90% confidence interval for at-site quantile estimate 90% confidence interval for pooled quantile estimate







Future work:

- □ Using different distance metrics to define dissimilarity
- □ Using different metric as seasonality measure
- Expand the study for different locations across Canada
- □ Performing regional analysis using POT series
- Conducting pooled nonstationarity analysis



Thank You



